

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,121	10/31/2003	Michel Diane Cyriel Van Ackere	Q78135	8457
72875 SUGHRUE M	7590 05/20/200 ION PLLC	8	EXAM	MINER
2100 Pennsylv	ania Avenue, N.W.		NGUYEN, QUANG N	
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com kghyndman@sughrue.com USPatDocketing@sughrue.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/697,121	VAN ACKERE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang N. Nguyen	2141	

	Quang N. Nguyen	2141							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3° CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3° CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been fitted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension fee have been fitted is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension for under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 									
a. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b. (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cort (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett application.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);							
(d) \(\times\) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: Applicants amended the claims 1,9-11 and means comprises a plurality of routing tables each adapters of said machine, and wherein each of the which is used for traffic relay in any default situatio, and that would require further search and consider the mean manufacture of the control of th	d 14 to add new limitations such as configured to be individually assoc plurality of routing tables defines a "as in claim 11 that would change ation. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	"the operating system iated with one of a pludestination as a default the scope of the claim (3(a)).	rality of network ult destination, med invention						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	-						
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\text{ how the new or amended calims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(\text{Claim(s) allowed to:} \) \(\text{Claim(s) rejected:} \) \(\text{Claim(s) rejected:} \) \(\text{1-12.14 and 15.} \) \(\text{Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:} \) \(\text{1-12.14 and 15.} \) \(\text{Claim(s) allowed withdrawn from consideration:} \) \(\text{1-12.14 and 15.} \) \(1-		be entered and an ex	planation of						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								

/Quang N. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2141

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080515